



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,837	(09/09/2003 Mark A. Reiley 9448.17205-CIP DIV 6		1759	
21971	7590	02/09/2005		EXAM	INER
WILSON S	ONSINI	GOODRICH & R	ISABELLA	ISABELLA, DAVID J	
650 PAGE N				ARTIRUT	DARED MAADED
PALO ALTO, CA 943041050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/657,837	REILEY, MARK A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		DAVID J ISABELLA	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mail- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	November 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,7,12 and 20-22 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8-11 and 13-19 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.	is/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa				
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/9/03:11/3/04</u> . \\ / ५/७५	Paper No(s)/Mail 8)	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3,4,7,12, 20-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/17/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,8,9,11,13-17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zang et al (5314486)

Zang, et al discloses a prosthesis comprising a fastenting element, and an artificial joint structure carried by the fastening element. While the prosthesis of Zang, et al is intended for replacement of a phalangeal type joints, the structure as broadly claimed is fully met by the prosthesis of Zang, et al. The prosthesis of Zang, et al is structurally similar to that as illustrated by applicant. Therefor, examiner contends that the device of Zang et al is capable of performing the function of replacing all or a portion of the natural facet joint.

Claim 2, the facet joint of Zang, et al is fixed to the fastening element.

Claims 8,9 and 9, see insert of Zang, et al.

Claims 11,13-17,19 see cooperating elements of Zang et al.

Art Unit: 3738

The prosthesis of Zang, et al is fabricated from selected biocompatible materials including titanium, cobalt chrome and may be fastened to the bone by with roughen surface providing a bone in-growth surface medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zang, et al (5314486) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Johnson et al (5609641).

The combination of the fastening element and the facet joint structure of Zang et al is illustrated to be one piece. It is well known in the art to fabrictate the elements, fastening and the joint structure independently to be joined later by the surgeon, as shown for example by Johnson, et al. Independent elements allow for better sizing and matching of the prosthesis to the in vivo bone structure. In view of Johnson, et al, to fabricate the one piece prosthesis of Zang, et al out of two pieces would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art as the independent pieces allows the surgeon greater flexibility.

Claim 18, whether natural fixation or cement fixation (Johnson, etal) is used, the two are essentially known equivalents in the art. Moreover, the manner by which the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,837

Art Unit: 3738

prosthesis is fixed to the bone, as claimed, does not further limit the structure of the device as broadly worded.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zang et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Carignan, et al (4955916).

The insert of Zang et al is shown to be mechanically fixed to the fastening element. Carignan et al shows the same fixation of the insert to the fastening element using a morse taper connection. To connect the insert to the fastening element of Zang, et al with a morse taper connection would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art as being essentially equivalent means for fixing an insert to a main component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,837 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

DAVID JASABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI February 7, 2005